### TOWN OF PETERBOROUGH, NEW HAMPSHIRE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT PROPOSAL

## **DEPARTMENT:** Public Works – Utilities

I.	Year Proposed for Construction or Purchase: FY24				
II.	Project Cost:	\$15,000			
III.	Title of Project:	Replacement Muffin Monster (waste grinder)			
IV.	<b>Description of Project:</b>	Replace the existing Muffin Monster (waste grinder).			
V.	Location of Project:	Utilities Division / DPW			
VI.	Justification / Need / Vi	sion: (Use a separate sheet if necessary)			

The Muffin Monster is a grinder at the Pheasant Rd pump station. All the wastewater flows through the Muffin Monster before being pumped to the treatment plant. The reason for the Muffin Monster is to prevent the pumps from getting clogged with "stuff" that shouldn't be flushed into the wastewater. The present grinder is beginning to fail, showing signs of diminished functionality. If we did not have the Muffin monster in place we would need to unplug the pumps manually on a weekly basis.

## VII. Cost Summary (Ensuing Years):

Project/Activity	Appr. to Date	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28
Feasibility Study							
Design							
Building Improvements							
Construction							
Construction Inspection							
Furnishings/Equipment							
Departmental Equipment			\$15,000				
Election Costs							
Subtotal							
Anticipated Offset (Cap Reserve)							
Total			\$15,000				

# VIII. Needs Criteria (Check as many as apply):

Χ	1.	Improving existing services		8. Coordinating facilities development
X	2.	Complying with legal mandates of state or federal government	X	9. Meeting demands for service resulting from Town growth
	3.	Improving existing environment		10. Guiding future town growth and development
X	4.	Protecting the health and safety of the populace		<ol> <li>Improving the basis for intergovernmental and regional cooperation</li> </ol>
x	5.	Achieving optimum and efficient use of municipal funds through cost savings, or avoidance of costs, or coordination of projects		12. Enhancing opportunities for participation in federal or state grant-in-aid programs
X	6.	Meeting demands for service resulting from population growth	X	13. Maintaining a sound and stable financial program
X	7.	Preserving existing facilities through repair		

### IX. **Project Ranking (Check only one):**

	URGENT PROJECTS							
	<ul> <li>meet emergencies threatening life, health, and safety</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>perform work required by state or federal law</li> </ul>							
	NECESSARY PROJECTS							
	<ul> <li>eliminate safety hazards</li> </ul>							
X	<ul> <li>correct code violations</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>meet contractual obligations</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>perform required renovation, repair, or replacement</li> </ul>							
	DESIRED PROJECTS							
	<ul> <li>improve equipment and efficiency</li> </ul>							
	• enhance service							
	<ul> <li>match state or federal funds</li> </ul>							
	ON-GOING PROJECTS							
	<ul> <li>continue work in progress</li> </ul>							
	NEW SERVICE							
	<ul> <li>expand a public facility service to a new area</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>provide a new public service</li> </ul>							

### X. **Possible Alternatives:**

There is no feasible alternative.

#### XI. Impact, if Disapproved:

Unclog pumps via manual process; creating an unsafe working environment.